

Listed below is information about the procedural status of South American Silver Limited (Bermuda) v. the Plurinational State of Bolivia – PCA CASE N° 2013-15

October 31, 2016	SASL and Bolivia filed their Post-Hearing Briefs.
August 11, 2016	The Tribunal issued Procedural Order No.23 rejecting SASL request of August 1, 2016.
August 5, 2016	Bolivia requested the Tribunal to reject SASL’s request made on August 1, 2016.
August 1, 2016	SASL requested the Tribunal to include a document (third-party publication/article) to the record.
July 21, 2016	The Hearing concluded.
July 11, 2016	The oral hearing (the “Hearing”), held at the World Bank’s premises in Washington, D.C., begins.
June 30, 2016	The Tribunal issued Procedural Order No.22 deciding on the requests from the Parties to include and/or exclude documents to and from the record.
June 22, 2016	SASL informed the Tribunal that it consented to Bolivia’s introduction to the record of only some of the documents requested by Bolivia on May 17, 2016 but rejected the introduction of others as an untimely request from Bolivia.
June 22, 2016	Bolivia requested the Tribunal to, among other things, reject SASL request made to the Tribunal on June 17, 2016
June 17, 2016	Bolivia in turn requested the Tribunal to, among other things, allow Bolivia to include certain additional documents to the record.
June 17, 2016	SASL requested the Tribunal to exclude from the record certain sections and legal authorities evidence submitted by Bolivia in its Rejoinder on the Merits or alternatively to allow SASL to submit legal authorities evidence to rebut Bolivia’s arguments made in those sections.
June 9, 2016	The Tribunal issued Procedural Order No.21 containing the Parties agreements regarding procedural matters in connection to the hearing and the Tribunal’s decisions on procedural matters with respect to which the Parties could not reached an agreement.
June 6, 2016	The Tribunal, The Permanent Court of Arbitration, SASL and Bolivia held a pre-hearing teleconference call to discuss procedural matters and logistical issues in connection to the Hearing which were not already agreed by the Parties.
June 1, 2016	The Tribunal issued Procedural Order No.20 ordering the partial exclusion of documentary evidence as proposed by SASL.

May 26, 2016	SASL informed the Tribunal that it consented to the exclusion from the record of certain documentary evidence but rejected the exclusion of other.
May 23, 2016	Bolivia requested the Tribunal to exclude from the record certain documentary evidence submitted by SASL with its Rejoinder on Jurisdiction.
May 17, 2016	The Tribunal issued Procedural Order No.19 ordering that the two redacted witness statements submitted by SASL be excluded from the record.
May 13, 2016	SASL informed the Tribunal that it would not submit un-redacted versions of the witness statements without protection or with the protection provided under Procedural Order No. 14, which SASL considered insufficient for these two witnesses, and hence SASL requested the Tribunal to exclude the two redacted witness testimonies from the record.
May 13, 2016	The Tribunal requested SASL to indicate whether it would accept to deliver the two witness statements to Bolivia and the Tribunal without redactions under a protective order in the same terms as that granted to a witness of Bolivia under Procedural Order No. 14.
May 6, 2016	The Tribunal issued Procedural Order No.18 rejecting SASL's special procedure for the examination of certain new witnesses whose redacted testimonies were submitted by SASL with its Rejoinder on Jurisdiction.
May 3, 2016	Bolivia requested the Tribunal to reject SASL's request made on May 1, 2016.
May 3, 2016	SASL filed its Rejoinder on Jurisdiction including rebuttal witness testimonies.
May 1, 2016	SASL requested the Tribunal to reconsider its decision in Procedural Order No. 17 and proposed the Tribunal to adopt a special procedure for the examination of two of SASL's new witnesses whose redacted testimonies would be submitted by SASL with its Rejoinder on Jurisdiction.
April 29, 2016	The Tribunal issued Procedural Order No. 17 rejecting SASL's request.
April 28, 2016	Bolivia requested the Tribunal to reject SASL's request.
April 27, 2016	SASL requested the Tribunal to adopt measures for the protection and confidentiality of new SASL's witnesses and their rebuttal testimony.
April 22, 2016	The Tribunal issued Procedural Order No. 16 rejecting Bolivia's request and reaffirming Procedural Order No. 15.
April 18, 2016	SASL requested the Tribunal to reject Bolivia's request and to reaffirm Procedural Order No. 15.
April 14, 2016	Bolivia requested that the Tribunal reconsider its decision in Procedural Order No. 15.

April 9, 2016	The Tribunal Issued Procedural Order No. 15 rejecting certain witness statements submitted by Bolivia with its Rejoinder on the Merits, and granting SASL an extension until May 2, 2016, to submit its rejoinder memorial to Bolivia's objections to jurisdiction and admissibility ("Rejoinder on Jurisdiction").
April 1, 2016	The Tribunal issued Procedural Order No. 14 adopting the witness protective order requested by Bolivia on March 16, 2016. The Hearing is not deferred.
March 30, 2016	Bolivia requested the Tribunal to reject SASL's requests, and if an extension were to be granted to SASL, that the Hearing scheduled for July 11-22, 2016 also be deferred.
March 23, 2016	SASL requested the Tribunal to order that Bolivia's witness statements submitted with the Rejoinder on the Merits be stricken from the record due to being untimely, or to alternatively allow SASL to submit rebuttal witness statements with SASL filing of the Rejoinder on Jurisdiction. SASL also requested the Tribunal for an extension to submit its Rejoinder on Jurisdiction from April 20, 2016 to June 3, 2016.
March 21, 2016	Bolivia filed its Rejoinder Memorial on the Merits and a Reply to SASL Response to Bolivia's Objections to Jurisdiction and Admissibility ("Rejoinder on the Merits").
March 21, 2016	The Tribunal issued Procedural Order No.13 responding to Bolivia's request to adopt a protective order for protection and confidentiality of witness and witness' testimony. The Tribunal decided to postpone the decision on the matter.
March 17, 2016	SASL requested the Tribunal to reject Bolivia's request.
March 16, 2016	Bolivia requested the Tribunal to adopt a witness protective order.
March 8, 2016	The Tribunal issued Procedural Order No.12 rejecting Bolivia's untimely document production request to SASL.
January 28, 2016	The Tribunal issued Procedural Order No.11 granting Bolivia an extension of the deadline for the submission of its Rejoinder Memorial until March 21, 2016.
January 20, 2016	SASL requested the Tribunal to reject Bolivia's request.
January 15, 2016	Bolivia requested the Tribunal for a 60-day extension for the submission of its Rejoinder Memorial that is otherwise due on February 29, 2016
January 11, 2016	The Tribunal issued Procedural Order No.10 rejecting Bolivia's request for security for costs from SASL.
November 30, 2015	SASL filed its Reply Memorial on the Merits and Response to Objections to Jurisdiction and Admissibility.
October 2, 2015	The Tribunal issued Procedural Order No.9 confirming the Tribunal's decision included in Procedural Order No.8.

August 26, 2015	The Tribunal issued Procedural Order No.8 deciding on disclosure matters with respect to certain information of the Malku Khota project classified as Protected Information.
July 21, 2015	The Tribunal issued Procedural Order No.7 deciding on pending document production requests by the parties. Each party has until August 31, 2015 to produce to the other party the documents ordered by the Tribunal.
April 21, 2015	The Tribunal issued Procedural Order No.6 denying Bolivia's request to adjust the procedural calendar.
April 20, 2015	SASL requested the Tribunal to reject Bolivia's request.
April 17, 2015	Bolivia requested the Tribunal to adjust the procedural calendar to grant Bolivia more time to submit their Rejoinder than contemplated in the Procedural Order No.1
March 31, 2015	Bolivia filed its Statement of Defense and Counter-Memorial.
February 17, 2015	The Tribunal issued Procedural Order No.5 granting Bolivia an extension of the period to submit their Statement of Defense and Counter-Memorial from February 22, 2015 to March 31, 2015.
February 9, 2015	SASL informed the Tribunal about its opposition to the extension requested by Bolivia.
February 6, 2015	Bolivia requested the Tribunal for an extension of 45 days (i.e. until April 8, 2015) to submit their Statement of Defense and Counter-Memorial.
January 26, 2015	The Tribunal issued Procedural Order No.4 ordering SASL to provide Bolivia with certain documents in their native format.
January 26, 2015	SASL requested the Tribunal to reject the request of Bolivia.
January 21, 2015	Bolivia requested the Tribunal to reverse itself on a number of points decided by the Tribunal as set forth in the Procedural Order No.3
January 14, 2015	The Tribunal issued Procedural Order No.3 amending the protective order issued with Procedural Order No.2. The amended protective order allows Bolivia's counsel and expert to review certain Protected Information of the Malku Khota project in electronic form. Protective measures to avoid unauthorized use or access remain.
December 16, 2014	SASL requested the Tribunal to reject the request of Bolivia.
December 12, 2014	Bolivia requested the Tribunal to reverse itself on a number of points decided by the Tribunal as set forth in the Procedural Order No.2
December 9, 2014	Bolivia informed the Tribunal about the appointment of Dechert (Paris) LLP as its external counsel.

December 1, 2014	Tribunal issued Procedural Order No.2 classifying certain Malku Khota project information owned by SASL as highly confidential (“the Protected Information of the Malku Khota project”). Procedural Order No.2 includes an order from the Tribunal to protect the information from unauthorized use or access during Bolivia’s counsel and expert review.
December 1, 2014	Bolivia informed the Tribunal about the agreement with SASL to extend the deadline for Bolivia to submit its Statement of Defense and Counter-Memorial from January 22, 2015 to February 22, 2015. Such extension doesn’t modify any other procedural deadlines as set forth in Procedural Order No.1
September 24, 2014	SAS filed a Statement of Claim seeking monetary compensation for damages in the amount of US\$ 385.7 million or alternatively restitution of the project along with US\$ 176.4 million in monetary damages.
June 5, 2014	The Tribunal denied Bolivia’s request to modify Procedural Order No. 1 as the Tribunal did not find any justification for reopening the debate on procedural matters which have been sufficiently briefed, and agreed, by the Parties or decided by the Tribunal where no agreement was reached.
June 3, 2014	Bolivia requests the Tribunal to reverse itself on a number of points decided by the Tribunal as set forth in the Procedural Order No.1
May 27, 2014	Tribunal issued Procedural Order No.1 which records the agreements of the Parties on procedural matters and the Tribunal’s decisions on procedural matters, including the procedural calendar.
May 19, 2014	The PCA provided the Parties with English and Spanish transcripts of the First Procedural Meeting.
May 13, 2014	SAS attended its first procedural meeting with the Tribunal and representatives of Bolivia in Bogota to agree on points to be determined with Procedural Order No 1.
March 4, 2014	The PCA informed the parties of their agreement on the Terms of Appointment and requested the parties to submit more information about the items in disagreement of the 1 st Procedural Order, by March 11, 2014.
February 24, 2014	SAS provided comments to the Draft Documents on February 24, 2014 and informed the PCA of Bolivia’s failure to attend the meeting to agree on the Draft Documents.
February 19, 2014	PCA requested SAS to provide comments by February 24, 2014 to Bolivia’s submission to the Tribunal.
February 18, 2014	Bolivia failed to attend a meeting scheduled with SAS on February 17, 2014 to discuss and agree on the Draft Documents; and Bolivia submitted its comments to the Tribunal on February 18, 2014 on its own accord.

February 4, 2014	PCA instructed the parties to consultant each other and reach an agreement on the Terms of Appointment and the 1 st Procedural Order (“Draft Documents”) by February 14, 2014.
January 22, 2014	PCA Secretary-General appointed Eduardo Zuleta Jaramillo as presiding arbitrator.
January 17, 2014	SASL sent to the PCA SASL’s list of prospective presiding arbitrators after having stricken and ranked candidates from the PCA-provided list.
January 2, 2014	Bolivia and SASL submitted their preference for list-of-candidates procedure by December 20, 2013. The PCA provided the Parties with a list of 11 arbitrators. The parties are required to delete a maximum of 5 candidates on the list and number the remaining minimum of 6 in order of preference and submit to the PCA by no later than January 17, 2014.
December 11, 2013	SASL and Bolivia are invited to inform the PCA by December 20, 2013 about which list-of-candidate procedure they prefer.
December 9, 2013	SASL requests the PCA to act as an appointing authority to facilitate the appointment of the Presiding Arbitrator following the list-of-candidates procedure.
November 29, 2013	Mr. Osvaldo César Guglielmino and Professor Francisco Orrego could not agree on a Presiding Arbitrator by the prescribed deadline.
October 30, 2013	PCA Secretary-General decided on the challenges to Mr. Osvaldo César Guglielmino and Professor Francisco Orrego Vicuña rejecting both challenges.
September 20, 2013	SASL files Rejoinder to Bolivia’s Reply on the challenge to Francisco Orrego Vicuña as arbitrator.
September 17, 2013	The PCA acknowledges receipt of Bolivia’s Reply on its challenge to Francisco Orrego Vicuña dated September 16, 2013. SASL may submit any further comments it may have with respect to the challenge by no later than September 26, 2013 (SASL’s Rejoinder).
September 16, 2013	Bolivia’s Replies on its challenge to Francisco Orrego Vicuña asserting his arguments for challenge.
September 11, 2013	The PCA acknowledges receipt of Osvaldo Guglielmino comments with respect to SASL’s challenge of his appointment and that the PCA will revert to the Parties in due course with a decision on SASL’s challenge to Osvaldo Guglielmino.
September 9, 2013	Osvaldo Guglielmino submits comments to the PCA with respect to SASL’s challenge to his appointment by rejecting SASL’s arguments for challenge.
September 5, 2013	Francisco Orrego Vicuña submits comments to the PCA with respect to Bolivia’s challenge of his appointment rejecting Bolivia’s arguments for challenge. The PCA invites Bolivia to submit any further comments it may have with respect to the challenge by no later than September 16, 2013.

September 3, 2013	<p>PCA Acknowledges receipt of Bolivia’s Rejoinder on the Challenge to Osvaldo Guglielmino dated September 2, 2013 and acknowledges receipt of correspondence from Osvaldo Guglielmino dated September 2, 2013 expressing his desire to submit additional comments with respect to SASL’s challenge of his appointment.</p> <p>The PCA gives Osvaldo Guglielmino until September 9, 2013 to submit additional comments.</p> <p>The PCA acknowledges receipt of Bolivia’s letter dated August 30, 2013 seeking a decision of the PCA on the challenge to Francisco Orrego Vicuña.</p> <p>The PCA invites Francisco Orrego Vicuña to submit any further comments with respect to Bolivia’s challenge of his appointment no later than September 9, 2013.</p> <p>Within 10 days following the receipt of the comments of Francisco Orrego Vicuña, Bolivia may submit any further comments it may have with respect to the challenge of Francisco Orrego Vicuña (“Reply”).</p> <p>Within ten (10) days following the receipt of the Respondent’s Reply, the Claimant may submit any further comments it may have with respect to the challenge of Francisco Orrego Vicuña (“Rejoinder”).</p>
September 2, 2013	Bolivia files a Rejoinder to SASL’s Reply on its challenge to Osvaldo Guglielmino in accordance with the procedural calendar issued by the PCA on August 14, 2013.
August 30, 2013	Bolivia files a letter with the PCA seeking a decision of the PCA on the challenge to Francisco Orrego Vicuña.
August 23, 2013	SASL files Reply on its challenge to Osvaldo Guglielmino in accordance with the procedural calendar issued by the PCA on August 14, 2013.
August 15, 2013	PCA acknowledges receipt of SASL’s Response to Bolivia’s challenge to Francisco Orrego Vicuña dated August 14, 2013 and gives Bolivia until September 2, 2013 to elect to pursue the challenge and seek a decision on the challenge by the PCA.
August 14, 2013	SASL files Response to Bolivia’s challenge to Francisco Orrego Vicuña.
August 14, 2013	<p>PCA acknowledges receipt of Bolivia’s letter rejecting procedural calendar proposed by SASL and invites the following further submissions on the Challenge:</p> <p>By no later than August 23, 2013, SASL may submit any further comments it may have with respect to the Challenge of Osvaldo Guglielmino (Reply).</p> <p>Within 10 days following the receipt of SASL Reply, Bolivia may submit any further comments it may have with respect to the Challenge of Osvaldo Guglielmino (“Rejoinder”).</p>
August 13, 2013	Bolivia submits comments to procedural calendar proposed by SASL on August 7, 2013. Bolivia rejects challenge to its Arbitrator and procedural calendar proposed by SASL.

August 13, 2013	Oswaldo Guglielmino submits responses to SASL's questionnaire.
August 8, 2013	PCA acknowledges receipt of SASL August 7, 2013 request and invites Bolivia to submit any comments it might have on the procedural calendar by August 13, 2013.
August 7, 2013	SASL files Request to the PCA to decide on the challenge to Oswaldo Guglielmino and proposes a procedural calendar to the PCA to adopt for further submissions on the challenge.
August 7, 2013	PCA notifies SASL that the deadline to seek the PCA's decision on the challenge to Oswaldo Guglielmino may not be extended to allow for Oswaldo Guglielmino to respond to the questions. The deadline to seek the PCA's decision on the challenge to Oswaldo Guglielmino expires on Monday, August 12, 2013.
August 6, 2013	SASL files list of questions for Oswaldo Guglielmino and request the PCA to extend the deadline to allow Oswaldo Guglielmino to respond to the questionnaire.
August 1, 2013	Bolivia files Notice of Challenge to Francisco Orrego Vicuña as arbitrator.
July 26, 2013	Bolivia files Response rejecting the challenge made by SASL to Oswaldo Guglielmino.
July 22, 2013	Oswaldo Guglielmino files declaration of impartiality and independence and accepts his appointment.
July 16, 2013	PCA acknowledges receipt of acceptance forms from Francisco Orrego Vicuña to serve as Arbitrator including his disclosure statement.
July 16, 2013	PCA provides forms to Oswaldo Guglielmino and informs him that he is deemed appointed from the date he was notified of the appointment by Bolivia.
July 15, 2013	Oswaldo Guglielmino submits letter to the PCA acknowledging the challenge and asks the PCA to provide forms to Oswaldo Guglielmino to accept or reject his appointment and declare his independence.
July 15, 2013	Francisco Orrego Vicuña accepts to serve as Arbitrator and submits disclosure statement of independence.
July 12, 2013	SASL files Notice of Challenge to Oswaldo Guglielmino as arbitrator.
June 28, 2013	Bolivia files Response to the Notice of Arbitration and appoints Oswaldo Guglielmino from Argentina as their Arbitrator.
June 4, 2013	PCA acknowledges receipt on May 31, 2013 instruction by the parties to act as appointing and administrative authority for the arbitration.
April 30, 2013	SASL files the Notice of Arbitration and appoints Francisco Orrego Vicuña from Chile as its Arbitrator.

April 23, 2013	The cooling-off period ends.
April 17, 2013	The meeting was held but no resolution was reached and no compensation was offered by the Bolivian Government.
April 12, 2013	The conditions were not met by the Bolivian Government hence SASL notified the Bolivian Government that its attorneys would be attending the April 17 meeting.
March 4, 2013	South American Silver Limited responded to the letter received on February 21, expressing its willingness to meet but subject to certain conditions.
February 21, 2013	The Government of Bolivia responded to the 5 letters sent by SASL. The Bolivian Government requested a meeting with SASL representatives on April 17, 2013 to discuss a potential amicable resolution to the dispute.
February 14, 2013	SASL delivered the 5th letter to the Bolivian Government reiterating its willingness to resolve the dispute amicably.
January 16, 2013	SASL delivered the 4th letter to the Bolivian Government reiterating its willingness to resolve the dispute amicably.
December 12, 2012	SASL delivered the 3th letter to the Bolivian Government reiterating its willingness to resolve the dispute amicably.
October 23, 2012	SASL delivered a formal letter to the Bolivian Government notifying it of the investment dispute under the UK-Bolivia Treaty. Notification of the investment dispute triggered a six-month cooling-off period during which the disputing parties may negotiate a settlement. The cooling-off period expires on April 23, 2013.
September 4, 2012	SASL delivered the 2nd letter to the Bolivian Government reiterating its willingness to resolve the dispute amicably.
August 1, 2012	SASL delivered the 1st letter to the Bolivian Government expressing its willingness to attend meetings with Bolivian Government representatives to resolve the dispute amicably.